

## Azarian Journal of Agriculture



www.azarianjournals.ir

Research article ISSN:2383-4420

# Varietal performance and correlation of okra pods yield and yield components under irrigation in Sudan savannah of Nigeria

Dattijo Aminu<sup>1</sup>, Omolaran Bashir Bello<sup>2</sup>\*, Abba Gambo<sup>1</sup>, Alafe Hakeem Azeez<sup>3</sup>, Usman Abdulrahman Abdulhamid<sup>1</sup>, Ali Iliyasu<sup>1</sup>, Agbolade, J. O<sup>4</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT** Article Info Field irrigation experiments were conducted to assess the varietal performance and Accepted: correlation of pod yield and yield attributes under irrigation at the Teaching and 1 Oct. 2016 Research Farm, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria, during 2015 and 2016 dry seasons. The results revealed that the most outstanding for fresh pod yield were okra cultivar Salkade, Y'ar gagure and Kwadag, in descending order with yield ranging from 34.69-4.83 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, while cultivar Y'ar kwami had the lowest value of pod yield (3.17 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) Keywords: over the two years. The highest mean values for number of pods per plant and number Correlation of primary branches per plant were observed for Salkade and Y'ar gagure, respectively, Coefficient, Highest fresh pod length and fresh pod diameter were also exhibited for Salkade and Genotypic, Okra pod Kwadag, respectively. Pods Genotypic coefficient of variation was higher than the phenotypic variation for all the yield contributing characters. Days to 50% flowering vield, Path analysis, were positive and highly significance difference associated with plant height, number of Phenotypic pods per plant and fresh pod weight. Path coefficient analysis showed that number of pods per plant exhibited positive and direct effects on pod yield across years. Indirect effect of other yield components through this character also contributed mainly towards pod yield. Therefore, plant height, days to 50% flowering, number of pods per plant,

pod length, pod diameter, number of primary branches per plant and fresh pod weight could be considered for selection and improvement for high yielding varieties in okra.

#### INTRODUCTION

kra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench) is a member of hibiscus family Malvaceae, and is a popular vegetable in the world; originating from Africa (National Research Council 2006). It ranks above other vegetable crops including Cabbage Amaranths and Lettuce, rice with regards to cultivation area, total production and consumption (Babatunde et al. 2007). Okra is an annual, hardy erect and high yielding plant, which vary in size, pod shape, pigmentation, degree

of branching, period of maturity and plant height (Purquerio et al. 2010). Okra is cultivated in about 277, 000 hectares with production of 731, 000 metric tons worldwide, while its productivity in Nigeria is around 2.63 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (FAO 2006). Okra is usually grown in Nigeria during the raining season; it is limited to irrigation in the dry season, especially in the Fadama vegetation (Aladele et al. 2008). Fresh okra fruit, leaves, buds and flowers are consumed as vegetables. The seeds could not only be roasted as a substitute for coffee (Farinde and Owolarafe 2007), but also as a substitute for aluminium salts in water purification (Camciuc et al.1998). The pods contain medicinal value. Its mucilaginous preparation can serve as blood volume expander or plasma replacement (Savello et al 1980). According to Wolford and Banks (2006), okra fresh fruit contain nutrients including water 88%, protein 1.52 grams, dietary fibre 2 grams, carbohydrates 5.76 grams, vitamin C 13.04 mg, vitamin A 460 iu, calcium 0.4mg, folic acid 36.5 micrograms, magnesium 46 mg and potassium 256.6 mg (Zodape et al 2008; Saifullah and Rabbani 2009). Based on FAO (2004) reports, okra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Crop Production, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Fountain University, Osogbo, and Department of Crop Production, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Crop, Soil and Pest Management, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department Plant Science and Biotechnology, Federal University, Oye Ekiti, Nigeria.

<sup>\*</sup>Email: obbello2002@yahoo.com

pod vitamin  $B_6$ , calcium and folic acid could help in good vision, bone formation, growth, proper circulation of blood and digestion. The okra pod protein assists in building muscle tissues and enzymes, which control the hormones of the organs. Its soluble fiber also helps in lowering serum cholesterol, reducing heart disease and cancer, especially colorectal cancer.

Information on genetic variability of crop is essential for identification and breeding of unique accessions vital for curators of gene banks for germplasm conservation (Olaoye 2009; Bello et al. 2011; 2012ab; 2014ab). Diversity of crops and morpho-agronomical characters are the first step highly recommended to be taken before in-depth molecular and biochemical studies (De Vicente et al. 2005). Knowledge of correlation between pod yield and its related characters could also improve efficiency of selection in okra breeding. Progress and gain from selection in any breeding programme depend upon the magnitude of useful variability present in the population, and the extent to which the desirable characters are heritable. Yield being complex quantitative character and controlled by several genes interact with the environment. Yield is also the product of various factors known as yield components. Efficiency of selection in any breeding programme mainly depends upon the knowledge of association of the characters. The suitable knowledge of such associations between yield and its related characters could appreciably improve efficiency of crop improvement through the use of right selection indices (Adekoya et al. 2014). Correlation coefficient that indicates an association between two characters is useful as a basis for indirect selection for further crop improvement. It is not only assists in the formation of selection indices, but also permits prediction of correlated response. Selection of parents that based on yield alone could be misleading. Correlation analyses are used such that the values of two characters are analysed on a paired basis, results of which may be either positive or negative. The

result of correlation is of great value in the determination of the most effective methods for selection of promising cultivars. If there is positive relationship among major yield characters, component breeding would be very effective, but when these characters are negatively associated, applying simultaneous selection would be difficult in improving the genotypes.

In order to formulate selection indices for genetic improvement of yield, the cause and effect relationship of the trait is very essential, and this can be achieved through path analysis. Path coefficient analysis provides information of effect of each contributing character to yield indirectly and directly (AdeOluwa et al. 2011). The analysis allows breeders to rank genetic components according to their influence. It is also essential in indirect selection of elite cultivars from diverse genetic populations. Availability of good information of these genetic components existing in various yield contributing traits and the relative proportion of genetic information in different quantitative attributes are pre-requisites for efficient crop breeding. As okra production play a significant role in the economy of nations as other annual crops, more attention should be accorded to the selection of high vielding cultivars for seed and edible pods. This study was conducted to assess the varietal performance and correlation of pod yield and other related characters of okra cultivars under irrigation with the view to formulating a breeding strategy for improvement, selection and conservation.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Experiment site and collection of planting materials

Field irrigation experiments were conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm, University of Maiduguri (11<sup>o</sup> 53'N, 16'E) in the Sudan savannah of Nigeria, during 2015 and 2016 dry seasons. Ten okra cultivars of which four (Kwalpuku, Kwadag, Mola kwadag and Composite) were obtained from

Table 1. The ten okra cultivars and morphological descri	ptions
--	--------

	Genotypes	Morphological descriptions of okra plant
1	Y'ar duwi	It has short pale green stem, few flowers, finger leaves and small slim fruit with no spine.
2	Salkade	It is a tall cultivar with broad leaves, red stem and few flowers, long fruit with small diameter. The fruit is long, white and smooth with small diameter.
3	Y'ar gagure	It has a pale green spiny fruit, broad diameter and long stem. It also has red and sparsely flowers.
4	Composite	It has dark green fruit of medium size with medium diameter. It also has green stem and broad leaves with many flowers.
5	Kwalpuku	It has short stem, small leaves with many flowers and spiny pods.
6	Kwadag	It has long stem with few flowers, big pod with spine and red stem.
7	Mola kwadag	It has short green stem with small finger-like leaves. It also has many flowers with big pods.
8	Y'ar kwami	It has dark green fruit with many flowers and big pods with spines.
9	Kwadam	This cultivar has short and white stem, medium leaves and spiny pods.
10	Lai-lai	It is runner-like, short, dark green with medium pod diameter. It also has white stem and small leaves with many flowers.

Borno State Agricultural Programme Maiduguri, Nigeria and six cultivars (Yar'duwi, Salkade, Yar'gagure, Y'ar kwami. Kwadam and Lai-lai) sourced from Gagure Gulani Local Government Area of Yobe State, Nigeria. The morphological descriptions and the ten okra cultivars are shown in Table 1.

#### **Experimental Layout and Cultural Practices**

The field experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. The plot was 216m<sup>2</sup>, divided into 33 plots of 2m x 2m with 1m spacing between replications, and 0.5m between treatments. Weeding was carried out manually at 3, 6, and 9 weeks after sowing (WAS). A compound fertilizer, N.P.K. 15:15:15 was applied at the rate of 60kg N/ha in two doses, first at three weeks after planting and then at flowering. Two millilitres of Ultracide 40EC insecticide in 15 litres was applied fortnightly to control insect pests. Light watering was applied using a watering can at every morning and afternoon. This was continued for a week for rapid and well establishment of the germinated seedlings.

#### **Data collection**

Six plants were randomly selected from each plot for the assessment of the okra quantitative characters. Days to 50% flowering was calculated as the number of days from planting to when 50% of the plants shed pollens. Number of primary branches per plant was counted from each plant selected. The number of fresh pods per plant was counted from the sample plants for the whole growing period, while the average number of fresh pods produced per plant was recorded and expressed in pods per plant. Fresh pod length was calculated with a meter scale from the fruit cap scar at the base to the tip end of the pod. Fresh pod diameter (cm) of the pods in the peduncle insertion zone was measured using a standard graduated scale Vernier calliper. Plant height was expressed in centimetres, as the distance from the base of the plant to the tip of the longest stem. In fresh pod weight measurement, the weight of individual pod was recorded with a digital weighing machine from ten selected matured fresh pods in each selected plots and averaged in gramme.

#### Data analysis

Statistical analysis was computed on all measured traits using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software for Windows Version 9.2, (SAS Institute 2011). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) on yearly basis was first calculated before a combined ANOVA across years. The variability of each agronomic character was estimated by simple measures such as ranges, means, and values of 'F' (variance ratio) test. The

SAS GLM procedure used for the ANOVA was mixed model. Replication was treated as a random effect, while cultivars as fixed effects. The degree of variation was determined using % coefficient of variation P< 0.05. Treatment means were separated by means Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 0.05 percent probability suggested by (Gomez and Gomez 1984).

Genotypic and phenotypic variances were estimated as suggested by Johnson et al. (1955). The genotypic variance ( $\delta^2$ g) was estimated by subtracting error mean square ( $\delta^2$ e) from the genotypic mean square and dividing it by the number of replication (r):

Genotypic variance (
$$\delta 2g$$
) =  $\frac{MSV - MSE}{r}$ 

Where,  $MS_V =$  lines mean square,  $MS_E =$  error mean square  $\delta$ , r = number of replication. The phenotypic variance ( $\delta^2_P$ ), was derived by adding genotypic variances with the error variance:

Phenotypic variance  $(\delta^2_{ph}) = \delta^2_g + \delta^2_e$ Where,  $\delta^2_{ph} =$  phenotypic variance,  $\delta^2_g =$  genotypic variance,  $\delta^2_e =$  error variance.

The correlation coefficients were partitioned into direct and indirect effects using the path coefficient analysis according to Dewey and Lu (1959).

Path coefficient analysis was computed according to the procedure employed by Dewey and Lu (1959). In path analysis, correlation coefficient is partitioned into direct and indirect of independent variables on the dependent variable. In order to estimate direct and indirect effect of the correlated characters, say x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub> yield y, a set of simultaneous equations (three equations in this example) is required to be formulated as given below:

```
ryx_1 = Pyx_1 + Pyx_2rx_1x_2 + Pyx_3rx_1x_3

ryx_2 = Pyx_1rx_1x_2 + Pyx_2 + Pyx_3rx_2x_3

ryx_3 = Pyx_1rx_1x_3 + Pyx_2rx_2x_3 + Pyx_3
```

Where, r's denotes simple correlation co –efficient and P's denote path co –efficient (unknown). P's in the above equations may be conveniently solved by arranging them in matrix form. Total correlation, say between  $x_1$  and y is thus partitioned as follows:

```
Pyx_1 = The direct effect of x_1 on y

Pyx_1rx_1x_2 = The indirect effect of x_1 via x_2 on y

Pyx_1rx_1x_3 = The indirect effect of x_1 via x_3 on y.
```

After calculating the direct and indirect effect of the characters, residual effect (R) was calculated by using the formula given below (Singh and Chaudhary, 1985):

```
P^2RY = 1 - \Sigma Piy.riy
```

Table 2. Combined mean performance of ten okra cultivars for fresh pod yield and yield components under irrigation between 2015 and 2016 dry seasons in Maiduguri (Nigeria)

Genotypes	Days to 50%	Plant	Number of	Number of	Fresh	Fresh pod	Individual	Fresh
	flowering	height at	primary	pods per	pod length	diameter	fresh pod	pod yield
		harvest	branches per	plant	(cm)	(cm)	weight	t ha <sup>-1</sup>
		(m)	plant				(g)	
Y'ar duwi	43.11	1.14	2.84	25.34	12.34	1.56	15.96	3.64
Salkade	50.33	1.44	4.23	33.75	14.88	1.22	16.23	4.83
Y'ar gagure	50.75	1.39	3.51	34.45	14.73	1.83	16.56	4.71
Composite	44.23	1.11	1.89	22.54	12.52	1.48	14.73	3.74
Kwalpuku	43.00	1.18	3.18	23.92	11.49	1.51	13.14	3.81
Kwadag	49.31	1.49	3.75	34.35	14.37	1.84	16.83	4.69
Mola kwadag	49.11	1.21	2.66	24.88	12.84	1.48	14.64	3.87
Y'ar kwami	45.34	1.18	3.33	25.18	13.37	1.52	14.34	3.17
Kwadam	45.11	1.22	1.67	28.23	13.64	1.44	15.11	3.83
Lai–lai	47.93	1.16	2.12	28.64	11.59	1.52	14.98	3.94
SE±	11.849	6.111	2.759	6.654	6.149	11.234	10.171	10.749

Where,  $P^2RY = (R^2)$ ; and hence residual effect,  $R = (P^2RY)^{1/2}$ , Piy = Direct effect of the character on yield, riy = Correlation of the character with yield

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The varietal mean performances in the two years on irrigation for okra crop phenology and fruit characters indicated significant differences in the cultivars for all the agronomic characters (Table 2). The most outstanding fresh pod yield were from Salkade, Y'ar gagure and Kwadag, in descending order with yield ranging from 34.69-4.83 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, while Y'ar kwami had the lowest value of pod yield (3.17 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) over the two years. Subrata et al (2004) reported that pod yield per hectare varied significantly among okra genotypes. The number of pod flower bud produced is a measure of fruit yield potential, but this potential could not be realised in the two dry seasons because of flower bud abscission. It is however interesting to note that once the flower buds developed into flowers, they have equal chances of developing into podss. Breeding for drought tolerance using number of

flower buds could produce a desirable cultivar for the dry season. Plant height at harvest varied from 1.11–1.49m with a mean of 1.25m (Tables 2 and 3) with Kwadag having the highest. The range observed for days to 50% flowering was 33.00-50.33 days with overall mean of 46.82 days. This revealed that dissimilar okra cultivars take significantly differed days to flowering and that cultivars were morphologically different from each other in flower bearing habits. Several researchers have found that days to flowering varied significantly among the okra genotypes (Subrata et al 2004; Divya and Sreenivasan 2010; Medagam et al 2013). The highest mean values for number of pods per plant and number of primary branches per plant were noted for Salkade, Y'ar gagure, respectively, while highest fresh pod length and fresh pod diameter exhibited for Salkade and Kwadag, respectively. Rashwan (2011) and Singh and Aakansha (2014) earlier observed significant differences among the okra accessions for number of primary branches per plant. The mean fresh pod length and fresh pod diameter ranged from 11.49-14.88cm and 1.22–1.84cm respectively. Highest

Table 3. Ranges, means, and values of 'F' from estimates for fresh pod yield and other related characters of okra cultivars under irrigation between 2015 and 2016 dry seasons in Maiduguri (Nigeria)

Characters	Range of variation	Means	S.E	Standard deviation	Error variance	F observed
Days to 50%	33.00-50.33	46.82	0.91	3.45	0.54	45.28
flowering						
Plant height at	1.11-1.49	1.25	0.84	0.33	7.25	26.72
harvest (m)						
Number of primary	1.67-4.23	2.92	1.25	0.34	3.52	35.98
branches per plant						
Number of pods per	22.54–34.45	28.03	1.12	1.48	2.35	10.63
plant						
Fresh pod length (cm),	11.49–14.88	13.08	1.38	1.32	7.75	40.73
Fresh pod diameter	1.22-1.84	1.54	1.52	0.87	0.53	22.53
(cm)	1.22 1.04	1.54	1.32	0.07	0.55	22.33
Fresh pod weight	13.14-16.88	15.25	1.58	0.12	3.78	33.54
(g)						
Fresh pod yield	3.17-4.83	4.02	0.12	0.72	0.01	78.93
t ha <sup>-1</sup>						

PHT: plant height (cm), DF: Days to 50% flowering, NBP: Number of primary branches per plant, NPP: Number of pods per plant, PL: Pod length (cm), PD: Pod diameter (cm), FPW: Fresh pod weight (g) and FPY: Fresh pod yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

fresh pod weight (16.88g) was recorded for Y'ar gagure, while Kwalpuku had the lowest (13.14g). Error of variance of the mean for all the characters was small (Table 3). This might be as a result of optimum number of replications (three) and data used in estimating the components of variance for the characters in the two dry seasons. The wide variability recorded for pod yield among the genotypes shows that there were copious opportunities for selection and improvement. These variabilities possibly heritable and could be used in the selection processes during breeding programmes.

Significant positive correlations among yield and yield contributing characters that can increase yield are desirable in crop improvement programmes, because it does not only simplify selection process, but also gains from selection (Somashekhar et al 2011; AdeOluwa et al 2011). The progress of selection not only depends on the knowledge of interrelationships of yield and their relative contributing characters, but also useful in efficient selection of characters. In this study, phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients calculated in the examined okra morpho-agronomic characters are presented in Table 3. The phenotypic correlation coefficients of the okra characters revealed the presence of highly significant and positive correlation of pod yield ha<sup>-1</sup> with plant height (r = 0.61), days to 50% flowering (r = 0.72), number of pods per plant (r = 0.65), fresh pod weight (r = 0.44) and pod length (0.43) (Table 3). This indicated that increasing these attributes could invariably increase pod yield. These results also conformed to previous studies (Ramya and Senhilkumar, 2009; Ramesh et al2010; Rashwan, 2011) in which pod yield per plant was positively associated with number of branches per plant,

number of pods per plant and pod length. The significant relationship between plant height and pod yield at flowering also revealed that yield improvement via direct selection of plant height during flowering as a single trait could be practicable during crop improvement. Days to 50% flowering was positive and highly significantly associated with plant height (r = 0.99) and number of pods per plant (r = 0.45) and fresh pod weight (r = 0.45)= 0.55). The plant height that was positively correlated with days to flowering morphologically indicated that as internodes' formation stops at floral initiation, early maturing okra cultivars usually have short status. Similar findings of fruit yield that was positively and significantly associated with number of pod per plant, plant height, number of branches and pod weight had been observed by many researchers (Mahaveer et al 2010; Simon et al 2013; Mihretu et al 2014; Kishor et al 2016). The present study is also agreed with the results reported by Dhankhar and Dhankhar (2002) and Anand et al. (2016) who observed days to 50% flowering as positively associated with pod yield and number of pods per plant.

It is of interest to note that genotypic correlation coefficients (Table 4) were comparable in magnitude with phenotypic coefficients, except number of pods per plant that had negative and non-significant correlation with fresh pod yield. High genotypic correlation implied that selection of one character directly affected the other character. Conversely, low or non-significant correlation suggested independence of association that would be possible to select for the two characters being studied. There were positive significant phenotypic and genotypic correlations of fresh pod weight with days to 50% flowering, pod length and pod diameter, similar to the findings of Rashwan

Table 4. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations for okra pod yield and yield attributes combined across years under irrigation in

S/N		PHT	DF	NBP	NPP	PL	PD	FPW	FPY
PHT	rg	1.00							
	rp	1.00							
DF	rg	-0.55**	1.00						
	rp	-0.53**	1.00						
NBP	rg	0.21	0.26	1.00					
	rp	0.22	0.27	1.00					
NPP	rg	0.52**	0.47**	0.19	1.00				
	rp	0.48**	0.46**	0.20	1.00				
PL	rg	0.60*	0.14	-0.50**	0.18	1.00			
	rp	0.59*	0.11	-0.47**	0.19	1.00			
PD	rg	0.18	0.11	-0.13	-0.30	-0.22	1.00		
	rp	0.17	0.13	-0.10	-0.27	-0.19	1.00		
FPW	rg	0.29	0.52**	0.18	0.10	0.53**	0.85**	1.00	
	rp	0.25	0.48**	0.10	0.07	0.51**	0.78**	1.00	
FPY	rg	0.58**	-0.48**	0.27*	0.77**	0.74**	0.21	0.62**	1.00
	rp	0.54**	-0.45**	0.28*	0.69**	0.69**	0.17	0.58**	1.00

\*,\*\*, significant at P< 0.05 and P< 0.01 respectively.

PHT: plant height (cm), DF: Days to 50% flowering, NBP: Number of primary branches per plant, NPP: Number of pods per plant, PL: Pod length (cm), PD: Pod diameter (cm), FPW: Fresh pod weight (g) and FPY: Fresh pod yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 5. Path coefficient of okra fresh pod yield and yield a	tributes combined across years u	s under irrigation in Maiduguri, Nigeria
---	----------------------------------	--

Character	Genotypic correlation	Direct effect	Indirect effects						
			PHT	DF	NBP	NPP	PL	PD	FPW
PHT	0.58**	-3.56		1.67	0.47	-0.56	1.31	-0.76	0.17
DF	-0.48**	-0.87	0.41		0.18	0.12	1.29	0.64	0.41
NBP	0.27*	-1.67	-0.55	0.59		0.13	0.45	0.83	0.73
NPP	0.77**	6.63	0.80	2.83	-2.05		1.23	-1.71	0.34
PL	0.74**	-3.57	0.92	1.45	-1.72	0.49		3. 11	0.59
PD	0.21	3.76	-0.30	-5.33	2.11	-0.73	1.33		-0.42
FPW	0.62**	6.13	-0.63	-7.76	-0.79	0.81	-4.81	1.22	

\*,\*\*, significant at P< 0.05 and P< 0.01 respectively.

Residual effect (h = 0.30). PHT: plant height (cm), DF: Days to 50% flowering, NBP: Number of primary branches per plant, NPP: Number of pods per plant, PL: Pod length (cm), PD: Pod diameter (cm), FPW: and Fresh pod weight (g).

(2011). This also revealed that genetic factors were

responsible for these associations. The significant positive association between plant height and number of pods per plant was not surprising, because pods in okra are usually borne in the leaf axil at the nodes; and number of pods per plant was found to be strongly correlated positively with number of nodes on the main stem (Bhalekar et al. 2005). Delay in fruiting could be as a result of prolonged vegetative growth induced by certain factors of the environment such as photoperiod. Even though, both phenotypic and genotypic correlations were comparable in magnitude, genotypic correlations in general were higher than phenotypic correlations for all pairs of characters, indicating that these characters were genetically controlled, and that their expression are lessened under the influence of environment. Several workers 2) reported that phenotypic correlation is an aggregate of genotypic and environmental correlations (Akinyele and Osekita 2006, Mehta et al 2006, Ahiakpa et al 2011, Bello et al 2012). Although, it has been noted that genotypic correlations were always greater than phenotypic correlations coefficients in many crops. The association of pod yield and days to 50% flowering was negative and significant at both genotypic and phenotypic levels in this study. This connoted that exceedingly early maturity might enhance decline in pod yield of okra (Rashwan, 2011, Adekoya et al. 2014, Ahamed et al. 2015, Tesfa et al. 2016). Since the pod yield did not phenotypically and genotypically correlated with days to 50% flowering, deviations from anthesis to physiological maturity could influence pod yield compared with plant emergence to anthesis period. Correlation between pod yield and pod diameter was low at phenotypic and genotypic levels in the two years, indicating that there's ample opportunity of receiving plum pods with least leeway in improving pod yield. The significant phenotypic and genotypic relationship between pod yield and number of branches per plant and plant height could be related to appropriate light interception provided by greater height. This could also provide

higher photosynthetic capacity acquired by more leaves that were abided on the branch (Stevels et al. 2012).

Pod yield of okra is governed by several agronomic traits with either indirectly or directly contribution to the yield. Path coefficient analyses used to obtain further information on the morpho-agronomic interrelationships among characters and their effects on okra fresh pod yield are presented in Table 5. Number of pods per plant had greatest direct effect on pod yield (p = 6.63), followed by fresh pod weight (p = 6.13) which had positive genotypic association with pod yield. Previous literatures of path coefficient analysis revealed that number of pods per plant and fruit weight had positive and high direct effects on fruit yield, indicating their importance as reliable selection criteria for improvement of yield in okra (Niranjan and Mishra 2003; Subrata et al 2004; Adeniji and Aremu 2007). It is of the opinion that by increasing ear weight due to more absorption of photo assimilates, the most portion of assimilates remobilize to grains, and invariably increase grain weight. However, as direct and indirect influences of number of pods per plant were prominent, these had a positive impact on pod length and pod diameter which could be a cause for high correlation coefficient that existed between pod yield and number of pods per plant (rg = 0.77). Direct response of this character could be attributed to indirect positive influence of plant height, days to 50% flowering, pod length and fresh pod weight. Some researchers reported that plant height had positive direct effect on okra pod vield per plant (Akinyele and Osekita 2006; Saifullah and Rabbani 2010; Solankey and Singh 2010). This therefore suggests the vital and successful achievement in selecting for these characters when high yield is the objective. Pod length had the highest negative direct influence on pod yield despite its positive genotypic interrelation with pod yield. This showed the flaw of selecting on the basis of inter-character correlation only. Therefore, selection of this character may not produce the desired results. Though, the direct effect of plant height on fruit yield was negative (p = -3.56), its correlation coefficient was also negative (rg = -0.58), but has high positive indirect influence on pod length and number of pods per plant.

Regardless of the importance of pod vield contributing trait used in path coefficient analysis computation, the residual effect (h = 0.10) is relatively low, denoting that the characters considered in this analysis is sufficiently explained the variability in okra yield as supported by many researchers (Mahaveer et al 2010; Akanbi et al 2015; Mishra et al 2015; Demelie et al 2016). It is projected that 78.93% difference in pod yield at a genotypic level is determined. This further portraved occurrence of some factors that contributed to okra pod yield that were not considered in this study. This could also be that correlation coefficients were ordinary estimates and are used to always rounding-off errors. Days to 50% flowering, pod length, number of pod per plant and pod weight appeared to be the favourable characters that could be used in selecting for high pod yield in okra, because of their highly significant genotypic and phenotypic correlations with pod yield in this study. It was also observed by Alam et al (2008) and Jagan et al (2013) that significant increase in each of these parameters tested could lead to an increase in the total okra pod yield. These evaluated characters also had the highest direct and indirect effects through most of the other characters. It is apparent that these prominent agronomic parameters could be considered as important selection criteria in improving okra varieties for high pod yield.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Okra cultivars used in this study showed variation in their performance for pod yield under irrigation in the two years. Cultivars Salkade and Kwadag demonstrated high pod vield. Y'ar gagure had pods compared to other higher number of cultivars. Kwalpuku is recognized as early flowering with higher yield which can be utilized in combination with other favourable genotypes for the development of early maturing cultivars. Using correlation coefficients, favourable okra cultivars could be selected with simultaneous selection for pod length, days to 50% flowering, number of pods per plant and pod weight. Genotypic coefficient of variation was higher than the phenotypic variation for all the yield contributing characters. Path coefficient analysis also showed that number of pods per plant exhibited positive and direct effects on pod yield. Therefore, plant height, days to 50% flowering, number of pods per plant, pod length, pod diameter, number of primary branches per plant and fresh pod weight could be considered for selection and improvement for high yielding varieties in okra. For further improvement, sufficient crossing programmes between the cultivars and newly introduced germplasm may be

necessary to develop day neutral, early flowering and maturing as well as high yielding genotypes in okra.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors appreciated the Farm Manager and the team of Teaching and Research Farm, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria for providing all necessary facilities to carry out the research.

#### REFERENCES

- Adekoya M.A. Ariyo O.J. Kehinde. O.B. Adegbite A.E. (2014) Correlation and path analyses of seed yield in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) grown under different cropping seasons. Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science, 37(1): 39–49.
- Adeniji O.T. Aremu C.O. (2007) Interrelationships among characters and path analysis for pod yield components in West African okra (*Abelmoschus caillei* (A. Chev). Journal of Agronomy, 6(1): 162–166.
- AdeOluwa O.O. Kehinde O.B. (2011) Genetic variability studies in West African okra (*Abelmoschus caillei*). Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America, 2(10): 1326–1335.
- Ahamed K.U. Akter B. Ara N. Hossain M.F. Moniruzzaman M. (2015) Heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in fifty seven okra genotypes. International Journal of Applied Science and Biotechnology, 3(1): 127–133.
- Ahiakpa P.D. Kaledzi E.B. Adi S. Peprah H.K. Dapaah A.M.A. Rashwan A. (2011) Study of genotypic and phenotypic correlation for some agro–economic traits in okra (*Abelmoschus* esculentus (L.) Moench). Asian Journal of Crop Science, 3: 85–91.
- Akanbi W.B. Togun A.O. Adediran J.A. Ilupeju E.A.O. (2010) Growth, dry matter and fruit yields components of okra under organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. American–Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 4(1): 1–13.
- Akinyele B.O. Osekita O.S. (2006) Correlation and path coefficient analyses of seed yield attributes in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). African Journal of Biotechnology, 5(14): 1330–1336.
- Aladele S.E. Ariyo O.J. de Lapena R. (2008) Genetic relationship among West African okra (*Abelmoschus caillei*) and Asian genotypes (Abelmoschus esculentus) using RAPD. African Journal of Biotechnology, 7: 1426 –
- Alam A.K.M.A. Hossain M.M. (2008) Variability of different growth contributing parameters of some okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) accessions and their interrelation effects on

- yield. Journal Agriculture and Rural Development, 6(1and2): 25–35.
- Anand C. Kartikeya S. Vishal A. Santosh K. (2016) Elucidation of variability, interrelationships and path coefficient in maize (Zea mays L.). Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, 15(2): 653–656.
- Babatunde R.O. Omotesho O.A. Sholoton S.O. (2007) Socio–economic characteristics and food security status of farming household in Kwara State, North Central Nigeria. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 6(1): 16-22.
- Bello O.B. Afolabi M.S. Ige S.A. Abdulmaliq S.Y. Azeez M.A. Mahmood J. (2011) Yield response of diallelic crossed maize (Zea mays L.) genotypes to varying nitrogen regimes in Nigeria. Journal of Bio-Science, 19:43-52.
- Bello O.B. Abdulmaliq S.Y. Ige S.A. Mahamood J. Oluleye F. Azeez M.A. Afolabi M.S. (2012a) Evaluation of early and late/intermediate maize varieties for grain yield potential and adaptation to a southern guinea savanna agroecology of Nigeria. International Journal of Plant Research, 2(2):14-21.
- Bello O.B. Afolabi M.S. Ige S.A. Abdulmaliq S.Y. Azeez M.A. Mahmood J. (2012b) Nitrogen use efficiency and grain yield in a diallelic cross of maize populations. International Journal of Plant Research, 2(3):94-102.
- Bello O.B. Olawuyi O.J. Ige S.A. Mahamood J. Afolabi M.S. Azeez M.A. Abdulmaliq S.Y. (2014a) Agro–nutritional variations of quality protein maize (Zea mays L.) in Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 59(2):101–116
- Bello O.B. Olawuyi O.J. Abdulmaliq S.Y. Ige S.A. Mahamood J. Azeez M.A. Afolabi, M.S. (2014b) Yield performance and adaptation of early and intermediate drought-tolerant maize genotypes in Guinea savanna of Nigeria. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture, 30(1):53–66.
- Bhalekar S.G. Nimbalkar C.A. Desai U.T. (2005) Correlation and path analysis studies in okra. Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture University 30(1): 109–112.
- Camciuc M. Deplagne M. Vilarem G. Gaset A. (1998) Okra–Abelmoschus esculentus L. (Moench.), a crop with economic potential for set aside acreage in France. Industrial Crops and Products, 7: 257–264.
- Demelie M. Mohamed W. Gebre E. (2016) Variability, heritability and genetic advance in Ethiopian okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Monech) collections for tender fruit yield and other agro-morphological traits. Journal of Applied Life Sciences International, 4(1): 1– 12.
- De Vicente M.C. Guzman F.A. Engels J. Rao V.R. (2005) Genetic characterization and its use in decision making for the conservation of crop

- Germplasm. The Role of Biotechnology, March 5 7, 2005, Villa Gualino, Turin, Italy.
- Dewey D.R. Lu K.H. (1959) A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of creast wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal of Horticulture, 57(4): 342–346.
- Dhankhar B.S. Dhankhar S.K. (2002) Correlation and path analysis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science, 31(3/4): 294–300.
- Divya B. Sreenivasan E. (2010) Correlation and path analysis studies in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Madras Agricultural Journal, 97(10–12): 326–328.
- FAO (2004) An ecological guide: Training resource text on crop development, major economic practices, disease and insect ecology, insect pests, natural enemies and diseases of okra. The National Academies Press,
  - http://www.vegetableipmasia.org/docs/Ecolog ical%20Guide/OKRA%20ECO%20GUIDE.p df
- FAO (2006) World reference base for soil resources. World Soil Resources Report 84. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation, Rome, pp. 88.
- Farinde A.O. Owalarefe L.B. (2007) Nigeria fertilizer sector, present situation and future prospects IFDC. Technical Bulletin, 12(1): 18-25.
- Gomez K.A. Gomez A.A. (1984) Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research (2nd edn.). International Rice Research Institute, A Willey Institute of Science, pp. 28–192.
- Jagan K. Ravinder R.K. Sujatha M. Madhusudhan R. Sravanthi V. (2013) Correlation and path coefficient analysis for certain metric traits in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) using line x tester analysis. International Journal of Innovative Research and Development, 2(8): 287–293.
- Johnson H.W. Robinson H.F. Comstock R.E. (1955) Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agronomy Journal, 47: 314–318.
- Kishor D.S. Arya K. Yogeesh K.J. Vinod K. Yashoda Hee–Jong K. (2016) Genotypic variation among okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) germplasms in South India. Plant Breeding Biotechnology 4(2): 234–241.
- Mahaveer K. Gangappa E. Satish R.G. (2010) Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield components in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench.). Environmental Ecology, 28(4A): 2625–2628.
- Medagam T.R. Kadiyala H.B. Mutyala G. Konda C.R. Hameedunnisa B. Reddivenkatagari S.K.R. Jampala D.B. (2013) Correlation and

- path coefficient analysis of quantitative characters in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology, 35 (3): 243–250.
- Mehta D.R. Dhaduk L.K. Patel K.D. (2006) Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis studies in okra (*Abelmoschus* esculentus (L.) Moench). Agricultural Science Digest, 26(1): 15–18.
- Mihretu Y. Weyessa G. Adugna D. (2014)
  Variability and association of quantitative characters among okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) collection in South Western Ethiopia. Journal of Biological Sciences ,14: 336–342.
- Mishra A. Mishra H.N. Senapati N. Tripathy P. (2015) Genetic variability and correlation studies in Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Monech), Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 6(3): 866–869.
- National Research Council (2006) Lost Crops of Africa: Volume II: Vegetables. Washington, DC, pp. 286–301.
- Niranjan R.S. Mishra M.N. (2003) Correlation and path coefficient analysis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Progressive Horticulture, 35(2): 192–195.
- Olaoye G. Bello O.B. Olayiwola L.S. Abubakar A.Y. (2009) Analyses of moisture deficit grain yield loss in drought tolerant maize (Zea mays L.) germplasm accessions and its relationship with field performance. African Journal of Biotechnology, 8(14): 3229–3238.
- Purquerio L.F.V. Lago A.A. Passos F.A. (2010) Germination and hard seedness of seeds in okra elite lines. Horticulture Brasil Brasília, 28(2): 23–32.
- Ramesh K. Sangita K. Shukla Y.R. (2010) Variability, correlation and path analysis studies in Lettuce. International Journal of Vegetable Science, 16: 299–315.
- Ramya K. Senthilkumar N (2009) Genetic divergence, correlation and path analysis in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus). Madras Agricultural Journal, 96: 296–299.
- Rashwan A.M.A. (2011) Study of genotypic and phenotypic correlation for some agro– economic traits in okra (*Abelmoschus* esculentus). Asian Journal of Crop Science, 3: 85–91.
- SAS (2011) Statistical analysis system (SAS) software for windows version 9.2. Vol. 1. SAS Institute. Cary, N.C. USA.

### Journal sponsorship



Azarian Journal of Agriculture is grateful to the *University of Maragheh* and its faculty members for their ongoing encouragement, support and assistance.

- Saifullah M. Rabbani M.G. (2010) Studies on the character association and path analysis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench.). International of Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Technology, 6(4): 10–15.
- Savello P.A. Mortin F.W. Hill J.M. (1980) Nutritional composition of okra seed meal. Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 28: 1163 –
- Simon S.Y. Gashua I.B. Musa I. (2013) Genetic variability and trait correlation studies in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench). Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America, 532–538.
- Singh R.K. Chaudhury B.D. (1985) Biometrical method in quantitative genetics analysis (Rev. ed.). Kalyain Publishers, New Delhi, India, pp. 78–85.
- Singh B. Aakansha G. (2014) Correlation and path coefficient analysis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 84(10): 1262–1266.
- Solankey S.S. Singh A.K. (2010) Correlation studies in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Asian Journal of Horticulture, 5(1): 70–75.
- Somashekhar G. Mohankumar H.D. Salimath P.M. (2011) Genetic analysis of association studies in segregating population of okra. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science, 24(4): 432– 435
- Stevels C.O.A. Ariyo O.J. and Ayo–Vaughan M.A. (2012) Genotypic performance, character correlations and path analysis of pods yield in *Abelmoschus caillei* (A. Chev.). Italian Journal of Agronomy, 7(E44): 337–345.
- Subrata S. Hazra P. Chattopadhyay A. (2004) Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Horticulture Journal, 17(1): 59–66.
- Tesfa B. Yosef A. (2016) Characterization of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) germplasms collected from Western Ethiopia. International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry, 3(2): 11–17.
- Wolford R. Banks D. (2006) Okra (<a href="http://www.urbanext.uivc/veggies/okra">http://www.urbanext.uivc/veggies/okra</a> 1.htm)
- Zodape S.T. Kawarkhe V.J. Patolia J.S. Warade A.D. (2008) Effect of liquid seaweed fertilizer on yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus* esculentus L.). Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research, 67: 1115–1117.